

Problems related to lecture 1 of the GSS lecture course by Søren Galatius.¹

Themes: Cobordism categories, elementary invertible field theories, classifying spaces of categories and fundamental groupoids.

There are many more problems here than can be attempted in a single problem session! If you do just one problem, the most useful for understanding the course would be Problem 2 on constructing elementary invertible field theories (Problem 1 is a good warm-up for this). The problems from this set may also be discussed in the problem sessions later in the week, alongside the later problem sets.

Problem 1 Recall that \mathfrak{N}_d denotes the abelian group of smooth, closed d -manifolds up to cobordism, with respect to the operation of disjoint union.

- (a) Show that the Klein bottle is nullbordant.
- (b) Prove that, up to cobordism, disjoint union is the same as connected sum, and that every cobordism class contains a connected manifold.
- (c) Prove, without invoking the theorem of Thom (but you may use the classification of surfaces), that the abelian groups \mathfrak{N}_0 , \mathfrak{N}_1 and \mathfrak{N}_2 are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, 0 and $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, respectively.

Write Ω_d for the analogous oriented cobordism group: the abelian group of smooth, closed, *oriented* d -manifolds up to *oriented* cobordism, with respect to the operation of disjoint union.

- (d) Prove that the abelian groups Ω_0 , Ω_1 and Ω_2 are isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , 0 and 0 respectively.

Problem 2 In this problem we construct two elementary “invertible field theories” (in the naive sense, ignoring symmetric monoidal structures). Recall that a (naive) invertible field theory is a functor from the abstract cobordism category Cob_d to a groupoid; we will construct two examples where the target is a group.

- (a) Construct a functor

$$F_d: \text{Cob}_d \longrightarrow \mathfrak{N}_d$$

(where the group \mathfrak{N}_d is considered as a category with one object) such that the restriction to $\text{End}_{\text{Cob}_d}(\emptyset)$ sends a diffeomorphism class of d -manifolds to its cobordism class.

(*Hint:* note that Cob_d is a disjoint union of subcategories indexed by the elements of \mathfrak{N}_{d-1} , so it will suffice to define F on the full subcategory of Cob_d on nullbordant $(d-1)$ -manifolds.)

- (b) Construct a functor

$$E_d: \text{Cob}_d \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

(where the group \mathbb{Z} is considered as a category with one object) such that the restriction to $\text{End}_{\text{Cob}_d}(\emptyset)$ sends a diffeomorphism class of d -manifolds to its Euler characteristic.

- (c) (*) In the case $d = 2$, using the classification of surfaces, show that the functor

$$\text{Cob}_2[\text{Cob}_2^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

induced by E_2 , is an equivalence.²

Problem 3 Give an explicit combinatorial description of the categories Cob_0 and Cob_1 , and of the subcategory $\text{Cob}_2^{\text{conn}} \subset \text{Cob}_2$ consisting of connected cobordisms between non-empty 1-manifolds (i.e. its morphisms are *connected* 2-manifolds, but its objects may be *disconnected* (but non-empty) 1-manifolds). Note that $\text{Cob}_2^{\text{conn}}$ is a *non-unital* category.

Using this combinatorial description of $\text{Cob}_2^{\text{conn}}$, we may investigate its localisation, and compare it to the localisation of Cob_2 (which was shown above to be equivalent to \mathbb{Z}). Since $B\text{Cob}_2^{\text{conn}}$ is path-connected, its fundamental groupoid is equivalent to its fundamental group (based at any point), which depends only on the 2-skeleton of the classifying space.

¹ Updated: July 10, 2019.

² See also Theorem 3.7 of [R. Juer, U. Tillmann, *Localisations of cobordism categories and invertible TFTs in dimension two*, Homology, Homotopy and Applications vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 1–31 (2013).].

- (a) Using your combinatorial description of $\text{Cob}_2^{\text{conn}}$, find a presentation (with infinitely many generators and relations) of the fundamental group of its classifying space.
- (b) Investigate how this may be simplified, by cancelling relations against generators. Does the group $\pi_1(\text{BCob}_2^{\text{conn}}, x)$ contain torsion?

Problem 4

- (a) Let C be the poset of all non-empty, proper subsets of $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, considered as a category. Prove that BC is homeomorphic to S^2 and hence that $\pi_1(BC)$ is equivalent to a trivial category.
- (b) Let C be the category with exactly two objects a, b and exactly two non-identity morphisms f, g , which both have source a and target b . Prove that BC is homeomorphic to S^1 and describe explicitly an equivalence of groupoids $\pi_1(BC) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
- (c) Combining the above ideas, find a finite category C such that $\pi_2(BC, x)$ is infinitely-generated for any object x .
- (d) Find other examples of interesting behaviour of the functor $C \rightarrow \pi_1(BC)$.

Problem 5 (*) *This problem is more difficult and less directly relevant to the lecture course, so it is recommended to do this problem after the other problems above (and for fun!).*

Another variant of the cobordism group is the *group of homotopy d -spheres* Θ_d . To define this, let us first denote by \mathcal{M}_d the abelian monoid of smooth, closed, connected and oriented d -manifolds under the operation of connected sum. Let \mathcal{S}_d be the subset of those d -manifolds that are homotopy equivalent to the sphere S^d , which we call *homotopy spheres*.

- (a) Prove that, if M and N are homotopy spheres, so is their connected sum.

Hence \mathcal{S}_d is a submonoid. Two manifolds $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_d$ are called *h -cobordant* if there is a cobordism W between them such that the inclusions c_{in} and c_{out} are both homotopy equivalences.

- (b) Prove that h -cobordism \sim_h induces an equivalence relation on \mathcal{S}_d .
- (c) Prove that this equivalence relation is compatible with the connected sum operation.

Hence we have a well-defined quotient monoid $\Theta_d := \mathcal{S}_d / \sim_h$ with respect to the operation of connected sum.

- (d) Prove that Θ_d is a group.

In fact, except possibly for $d = 4$, the quotient $\mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow \Theta_d$ is an isomorphism, so \mathcal{S}_d is also a group. The group Θ_d is known to be finite for all d (including 4).