

Functorial homological representations of motion groups and mapping class groups, polynomiality and twisted homological stability

Martin Palmer-Anghel // Talk at the [topology seminar](#), IMAR, 20 December 2019

Abstract.

The classical braid groups (on at least 3 strands) are known to have “wild” representation theory, so there is no easy classification system for their representations.¹ It is therefore useful to be able to construct representations of the braid groups geometrically or topologically, so that they may be studied using geometry and topology.

Important examples of topologically-defined representations are the *Lawrence-Bigelow representations*, introduced by Ruth Lawrence in 1990 and later generalised by Stephen Bigelow to a construction that inputs a B_m -representation V over k and outputs a B_n -representation over $k[t^{\pm 1}]$ for all n .² Other examples are given by the *Long-Moody construction*, which inputs a B_m -representation V over k and outputs a B_{m-1} -representation over k . Both of these constructions are topological, induced by the action (up to homotopy) of B_n on a space equipped with a certain local system.

Braid groups are simultaneously examples of *motion groups* and of *mapping class groups*; other examples are surface braid groups, loop braid groups, mapping class groups of surfaces and automorphism groups of free groups. I will describe a general construction of “*homological representations*” for motion groups and mapping class groups that recovers the constructions of Lawrence-Bigelow and Long-Moody for the classical braid groups (so in a sense it “unifies” these constructions).

Moreover, the construction produces “coherent” families of representations, in the sense that they extend to a functor on a category with object set \mathbb{N} and whose automorphism groups are the family of groups under consideration (e.g. braid groups on any number of strands). The richer structure of this category may then be used

- (i) to organise the representation theory of the family of groups, and
- (ii) to prove twisted homological stability results, via a certain notion of polynomiality.

I will explain why, via our general homological construction, the Lawrence-Bigelow representations $\mathcal{LB}_{m,n}$ may be extended to such a polynomial functor, and deduce that the twisted homology groups $H_i(B_n; \mathcal{LB}_{m,n})$ are stable (independent of n for $n \gg i$) for any fixed m .

This represents joint work with Arthur Soulié.

Preliminary version of our preprint: [arXiv:1910.13423v1](#).

¹More precisely, their representation theory is “wild” in the sense that the representation theory of the free group F_2 may be embedded into the representation theory of B_n for any $n \geq 3$. This also implies that the representation theory of B_n (for any fixed $n \geq 3$) contains the representation theory of all finite groups, and that there are k -parameter families of irreducible representations of B_n for arbitrarily large k .

²Lawrence’s original construction $\mathcal{LB}_{m,n}$ corresponds to the case $V = k = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1}] = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]$, where B_m acts through its abelianisation, for $m \geq 2$, and $V = k = \mathbb{Z}$, for $m = 1$.