

I Homotopy sheaves

Definition A sheaf on a topological space X is a contravariant functor $\mathcal{F}: \text{Op}(X) \rightarrow \text{Set}$ such that, if $U = V \cup W$ the diagram $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathcal{F}(W) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F}(V \cap W)$ is a limit diagram.

Problem: Some structures feel like they should be sheaves but do not satisfy this definition.

Example: Take X a manifold, consider

$$\text{Vect}_n: \text{Op}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

$U \mapsto$ (isomorphism classes) of vector bundles of dim n on U

This is not a sheaf, indeed any vector bundle is locally trivial but not globally.

Fix: $\text{Vect}_n: \text{Op}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Groupoids}$

$U \mapsto$ groupoid of n -dim vector bundles on U .

This is still not a sheaf but the diagram

$$\text{Vect}_n(U) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_n(V) \times \text{Vect}_n(W) \rightrightarrows \text{Vect}_n(V \cap W)$$

is a homotopy limit diagram

Equivalently,

$\text{Vect}_n(U)$ is equivalent to the groupoid of triples (A, B, ϕ)

with $A \in \text{Vect}_n(V)$, $B \in \text{Vect}_n(W)$ and ϕ is an isomorphism

$$\phi: A|_{V \cap W} \simeq B|_{V \cap W}$$

This induces a short exact sequence

$$\pi_1 \text{Vect}_n(V \vee W) \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Vect}_n(U) \rightarrow \pi_0 \text{Vect}_n(V) \times \pi_0 \text{Vect}_n(W) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_0 \text{Vect}_n(V \vee W)$$

More generally we make the following definition

Definition: A functor $\mathcal{F}: \text{Op}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{sSet}_{\text{Top}}$ is a homotopy sheaf if for all $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ s.t. $U = V \vee W$, the diagram $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathcal{F}(W) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{F}(V \vee W)$ is a homotopy limit diagram.

meaning that it induces an weak homotopy equivalence from $\mathcal{F}(U)$ to the sSet_{Top} of triples (a, b, γ) with $a \in \mathcal{F}(V)$, $b \in \mathcal{F}(W)$ and γ is a path between the restrictions of a and b to $V \vee W$.

This induces a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}(V \vee W)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathcal{F}(U)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathcal{F}(W)) \rightarrow \pi_i(\mathcal{F}(V \vee W)) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{F}(V \vee W)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{F}(U)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{F}(V) \times \mathcal{F}(W)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathcal{F}(V \vee W)) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition

• The inclusion functor $\text{Ho}(\text{ho. sheaves on } X) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\text{presheaves on } X)$ has a left adjoint: the (homotopy) sheafification functor, denoted $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^\#$

• The sheafification functor is homotopically idempotent, i.e. the map $\mathcal{F}^\# \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}^\#)^\#$ is a weak equivalence of (pre)sheaves

• A map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of presheaves induces a weak equivalence $\mathcal{F}^\# \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\#$ if and only if it is a stalkwise weak equivalence

• There is a (fringed) spectral sequence $H^i(X, \pi_j \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow \pi_{j-i} \mathcal{F}(X)$ for a homotopy sheaf \mathcal{F}

II Immersions

Fix X a manifold and M a manifold (smooth manifolds)

Consider the space $\text{Imm}(X, M)$ of immersions $X \rightarrow M$

Observation: The ~~is~~ functor $U \mapsto \text{Imm}(U, M)$ is a sheaf on X

Definition: A formal immersion from X to M is a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow M$ and the data of linear injective maps $\phi_x: T_x X \rightarrow T_x M$ depending continuously on x .

We denote by $\text{FImm}(X, M)$ the space of formal immersions from X to M

Proposition The obvious map $\text{Imm}(X, M) \rightarrow \text{FImm}(X, M)$ is a homotopy sheafification.

Proof Claim 1: $U \mapsto \text{FImm}(U, M)$ is a homotopy sheaf

Claim 2: $\text{Imm}(D, M) \rightarrow \text{FImm}(D, M)$ is a weak equivalence when D is an open disk. In fact both spaces are homotopy equivalent to $\text{Fr}_d(TM)$ (with $d = \dim(D)$) the space of d -frames in TM . □

Theorem [Smale-Hirsch]

The presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Imm}(U, M)$ is a homotopy sheaf if $\dim X < \dim M$

Corollary The space $\text{Imm}(S^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is connected

Proof We have $\text{Imm}(S^2, \mathbb{R}^3) \simeq \text{FImm}(S^2, \mathbb{R}^3)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FImm}(S^2, \mathbb{R}^3) &\simeq \{ \text{fiberwise injections } TS^2 \rightarrow S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \} \\ &\simeq \{ \text{fiberwise bijections } TS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \} \end{aligned}$$

Indeed $\text{InjLin}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^3) \simeq \text{SO}(3)$

$$\simeq \{ \text{continuous maps } S^2 \rightarrow \text{SO}(3) \} [TS^2 \times \mathbb{R} \simeq S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2]$$

$$\pi_0 \text{Imm}(S^2, \mathbb{R}^3) \cong \pi_2 \text{SO}(3) \cong \pi_2 \text{SU}(2) \cong \{0\} \quad \square$$

On the other hand, the same reasoning shows that

$$\pi_0(\text{Imm}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2)) \cong \pi_1 \text{SO}(2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

The sphere eversion problem is solvable in \mathbb{R}^3 but not in \mathbb{R}^2 .

III Embeddings

As before X and M are smooth manifolds

We consider the presheaf on X

$$U \mapsto \text{Emb}(U, M)$$

This is neither a sheaf nor a homotopy sheaf.

Proposition $\text{Emb}(U, M) \rightarrow \text{FImm}(U, M)$ is a homotopy sheafification. In particular, if $\dim(X) < \dim(M)$

$\text{Emb}(U, M) \rightarrow \text{Imm}(U, M)$ is a homotopy sheafification

Proof $\text{FImm}(U, M)$ is a homotopy sheaf. Moreover

$\text{Emb}(D, M) \rightarrow \text{Frd}(TM)$ is a weak equivalence. \square

How can we go further?

Definition Let X be any topological space. A J_k -cover of X is a collection of open sets of X $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ s.t. any collection of k points in X is contained in one of the U_i 's.

Remark A J_1 -cover is a usual cover

Observation: $U \mapsto \text{Emb}(U, M)$ is a J_2 -sheaf on M

But it is not a J_2 -homotopy sheaf.

Definition A J_k -homotopy sheaf on X is a presheaf

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Op}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{sSet}/\text{Top} \quad \text{s.t. for any open set } U$$

and any J_k -cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} \rightarrow U$ the diagram

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightrightarrows \prod_{\substack{S \subset I \\ |S|=2}} \mathcal{F}(U_S) \rightrightarrows \prod_{\substack{S \subset I \\ |S|=3}} \mathcal{F}(U_S)$$

is a homotopy limit diagram

Definition Let X, M be smooth manifolds

The embedding calculus tower is

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \vdots \\
 \downarrow \\
 T_3 \text{Emb}(X, M) \\
 \downarrow \\
 T_2 \text{Emb}(X, M) \\
 \downarrow \\
 \text{Emb}(X, M) \longrightarrow T_1 \text{Emb}(X, M) \simeq \text{Imm}(X, M)
 \end{array}$$

where $T_k \text{Emb}(X, M)$ is the value on X of the J_k homology sheafification of $U \mapsto \text{Emb}(U, M)$

Theorem [Goodwillie - Klein - Weiss]

The embedding calculus tower converges in codimension ≥ 3

More precisely, the map

$\text{Emb}(X, M) \rightarrow T_k \text{Emb}(X, M)$ is $(3 - \dim M + (k-1)(\dim M - \dim X - 2))$ connected.

Under the codimension ≥ 3 assumption we obtain a spectral sequence

$$\pi_{t-s} L_s \Rightarrow \pi_{t-s} \text{Emb}(X, M)$$

where L_s is the homology fiber of $T_s \rightarrow T_{s-1}$ can be explicitly computed in some cases.

IV Applications of embedding calculus

Theorem [Arone - Lambrechts - Volic]

let $2m \leq n-1$. The rational homology of $\overline{\text{Emb}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with M of dim m depends only on the rational homology of M

$$\overline{\text{Emb}}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \text{hofib}[\text{Emb}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \text{Imm}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)]$$

Theorem [Arone Turchin / Fresse Turchin Willwacher]

"Computation" of $\pi_* \text{Emb}(D^m, D^n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ if $n-m \geq 3$

Theorem [Weiss]

The map $H^*(B\text{Top}, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(B\text{Top}(n), \mathbb{Q})$ has "exotic" classes in its kernel image

An exotic class is a class that is not zero but is sent to zero by the map $H^*(B\text{Top}(n), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BO(n), \mathbb{Q})$

Theorem [Kupers]

For $n \neq 4, 5, 7$, the ~~group~~^{space} $B\text{Top}(n)$ has finite ly generated homology groups.

Ingredients for these theorem

• For $m \neq 4$ $\text{Diff}_2(D^m) \simeq \Omega^{m+1}(\text{Top}(m)/O(m))$ [Morlet]

$\text{Top}(m)/O(m)$ is the homotopy fiber of $BO(m) \rightarrow B\text{Top}(m)$

• For any manifold M , one has a fiber sequence with boundary

$$B\text{Diff}_2(D^n) \rightarrow B\text{Diff}_2(M) \rightarrow B\text{Emb}_{\frac{1}{2}\partial}^{\approx}(M, M)$$

If we apply this to $M = W_{g,1} \xrightarrow{\text{Sax}}$ we can get informations about $B\text{Diff}_2(D^{2n})$

$$W_{g,1} = (\#_g S^u \times S^n) - D$$

Theorem [Knudsen - Kupers]

Knot theory is not a good invariant of manifolds of dim 4 :

If $M \cong M'$ are homeomorphic 4-manifolds then

$$\text{Emb}(S^1, M) \simeq \text{Emb}(S^1, M')$$