# State-sum homotopy invariants of maps from 3-manifolds to 2-types

Moduli and Friends Seminar

Kürşat Sözer (joint with Alexis Virelizier)

McMaster University

#### Contents

- 1. Functorial Topological Quantum Field Theories
- 2. Examples of TQFTs in Low-dimensions
- 3. Homotopy Quantum Field Theories
- 4. Results on 3-dimensional TQFTs and HQFTs
- 5. Homotopy *n*-types and Main Theorem
- 6. Spherical Fusion Categories
- 7. State-sum Homotopy Invariants of Maps

## Functorial Topological Quantum Field Theories

## *n*-dimensional cobordism category

The *n*-dimensional cobordism category Cob<sub>n</sub> has

- $\cdot$  closed oriented (n-1)-dimensional manifolds as objects,
- diffeomorphism classes (relative  $\partial$ ) of *n*-dimensional oriented cobordisms as morphisms.
- $\cdot \, \circ$  : gluing manifolds along common boundary components

## *n*-dimensional cobordism category

The *n*-dimensional cobordism category Cob<sub>n</sub> has

- closed oriented (n 1)-dimensional manifolds as objects,
- diffeomorphism classes (relative  $\partial$ ) of *n*-dimensional oriented cobordisms as morphisms.
- $\cdot \, \circ$  : gluing manifolds along common boundary components



 $\rightarrow$  (Cob<sub>n</sub>,  $\coprod$ ) is a symmetric monoidal category.

## Functorial topological quantum field theories

The category  $\mathsf{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$  of complex vector spaces has

- $\cdot$  finite dimensional C-complex vector spaces as objects
- linear transformations as morphisms.
- $\rightarrow$  (Vect\_{\mathbb{C}},\otimes) is a symmetric monoidal category.

#### Definition (Atiyah)

An *n*-dimensional topological quantum field theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor

## Functorial TQFTs

The category  $\mathsf{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$  of complex vector spaces has

- $\cdot$  finite dimensional C-complex vector spaces as objects,
- linear transformations as morphisms.
- $\rightarrow$  (Vect\_{\mathbb{C}},\otimes) is a symmetric monoidal category.

#### Definition (Atiyah)

An *n*-dimensional topological quantum field theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor



## Functorial TQFTs

The category  $\mathsf{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$  of complex vector spaces has

- $\cdot$  finite dimensional C-complex vector spaces as objects,
- linear transformations as morphisms.
- $\rightarrow$  (Vect\_{\mathbb{C}},\otimes) is a symmetric monoidal category.

#### Definition (Atiyah)

An *n*-dimensional topological quantum field theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor



## Functorial TQFTs

The category  $\mathsf{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$  of complex vector spaces has

- $\cdot$  finite dimensional C-complex vector spaces as objects,
- linear transformations as morphisms.
- $\rightarrow$  (Vect\_{\mathbb{C}},\otimes) is a symmetric monoidal category.

#### Definition (Atiyah)

An *n*-dimensional topological quantum field theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor



*n*-dimensional TQFTs produce numerical diffeomorphism invariants of closed *n*-manifolds which are multiplicative with respect to disjoint union operation and behave well under cut-paste operations.

## Examples of TQFTs in Low-dimensions

- Ob(Cob<sub>1</sub>): closed oriented 0-dimensional manifolds
- Ob(Cob<sub>1</sub>): finitely many oriented points
- Under the operation ∐, the collection Ob(Cob<sub>1</sub>) is generated by two objects; namely, ●+ and ●-.

- Ob(Cob<sub>1</sub>): closed oriented 0-dimensional manifolds
- Ob(Cob<sub>1</sub>): finitely many oriented points
- Under the operation ∐, the collection Ob(Cob<sub>1</sub>) is generated by two objects; namely, ●+ and ●-.
- Mor(Cob<sub>1</sub>): diffeom. classes of compact oriented 1-manifolds
- $\cdot$  Under the operation  $\coprod$  , the collection  $\mathsf{Mor}(\mathsf{Cob}_1)$  is generated by



Given a 1-dimensional TQFT Z:  $\mathsf{Cob}_1 \to \mathsf{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$  with the following data

- $Z(\bullet^+) = V$
- $Z(\bullet -) = W$
- $Z(ev): V \otimes W \to \mathbb{C}$
- $Z(\operatorname{coev}) \colon \mathbb{C} \to W \otimes V.$

Given a 1-dimensional TQFT Z:  $\mathsf{Cob}_1 \to \mathsf{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$  with the following data

- $Z(\bullet^+) = V$
- $Z(\bullet -) = W$
- $Z(ev): V \otimes W \to \mathbb{C}$
- $Z(\operatorname{coev}) \colon \mathbb{C} \to W \otimes V.$

The equivalence of the following cobordisms implies that Z(ev) is a nondegenerate bilinear pairing, so  $W \cong V^*$ .



Given a 1-dimensional TQFT Z:  $\mathsf{Cob}_1 \to \mathsf{Vect}_\mathbb{C}$  with the following data

- ·  $Z(\bullet^+) = V$
- $Z(\bullet -) = W$
- $Z(ev) \colon V \otimes W \to \mathbb{C}$
- $Z(\operatorname{coev}) \colon \mathbb{C} \to W \otimes V.$

Let us compute the numerical invariant associated with connected closed oriented 1-manifold, namely the circle.



- Ob(Cob<sub>2</sub>): closed oriented 1-dimensional manifolds
- Ob(Cob<sub>2</sub>): finitely many oriented circles

- Ob(Cob<sub>2</sub>): closed oriented 1-dimensional manifolds
- Ob(Cob<sub>2</sub>): finitely many oriented circles
- Mor(Cob<sub>2</sub>): diffeom. classes of compact oriented 2-manifolds
- Under the operation  $\coprod$ , the collection Mor(Cob<sub>2</sub>) is generated by



- Ob(Cob<sub>2</sub>): closed oriented 1-dimensional manifolds
- Ob(Cob<sub>2</sub>): finitely many oriented circles
- Mor(Cob<sub>2</sub>): diffeom. classes of compact oriented 2-manifolds
- Under the operation  $\coprod$ , the collection Mor(Cob<sub>2</sub>) is generated by



Given a 2-dimensional TQFT Z:  $Cob_2 \rightarrow Vect_{\mathbb{C}}$ , with the following data

- $\cdot Z(S^1) = V$
- $Z(\mu) \colon V \otimes V \to V$
- $Z(\Delta) \colon V \to V \otimes V$
- $\cdot Z(\eta) \colon \mathbb{C} \to V$
- $Z(\varepsilon): V \to \mathbb{C}.$



#### Definition

**Frobenius algebra** is a finite dimensional associative, unital algebra V equipped with a nondegenerate bilinear form  $\sigma: V \otimes V \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\sigma(a \cdot b, c) = \sigma(a, b \cdot c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in V$ .



#### Definition

**Frobenius algebra** is a finite dimensional associative, unital algebra V equipped with a nondegenerate bilinear form  $\sigma: V \otimes V \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $\sigma(a \cdot b, c) = \sigma(a, b \cdot c)$  for all  $a, b, c \in V$ .



Theorem (Abrams, Kock)

2-dimensional TQFTs are classified by commut. Frobenius algebras.

17

# Homotopy Quantum Field Theories

## Endowing cobordism category with continuous maps

Fix a connected pointed CW complex (*X*, *x*) (called the *target space*). The *n*-dimensional *X*-cobordism category XCob<sub>n</sub> has

- closed oriented pointed (n 1)-dimensional manifolds equipped with continuous pointed maps as objects
- diffeomorphism classes of *n*-dimensional oriented cobordisms equipped with homotopy classes of maps to X (restricting to those pointed continuous maps defined boundary manifolds) as morphisms.

## Endowing cobordism category with continuous maps

Fix a connected pointed CW complex (*X*, *x*) (called the *target space*). The *n*-dimensional *X*-cobordism category XCob<sub>n</sub> has

- closed oriented pointed (n 1)-dimensional manifolds equipped with continuous pointed maps as objects
- diffeomorphism classes of *n*-dimensional oriented cobordisms equipped with homotopy classes of maps to X (restricting to those pointed continuous maps defined boundary manifolds) as morphisms.



#### Definition (Turaev)

An *n*-dimensional homotopy quantum field theory with target X (X-HQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor

```
Z : \mathsf{XCob}_n \to \mathsf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}.
```

- For  $X = \{\bullet\}$ , we have X-HQFT= TQFT.
- For any target X, we have  $Cob_n \hookrightarrow XCob_n$  by introducing points on connected components and taking constant maps.
- When  $X \simeq K(G, 1)$  for some group G, one can replace continuous pointed maps on objects of  $XCob_n$  with pointed homotopy classes of continuous pointed maps.

#### **HQFTs**

#### Definition (Turaev)

An *n*-dimensional homotopy quantum field theory with target X (X-HQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor



## Cohomological HQFTs

#### Example (Turaev)

For any cohomology class  $\theta \in H^n(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , there exists an *n*-dimensional X-HQFT, called *cohomological X-HQFT*,

 $Z^{\theta} : \mathsf{XCob}_n \to \mathsf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}.$ 



## Cohomological HQFTs

#### Example (Turaev)

For any cohomology class  $\theta \in H^n(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$ , there exists an *n*-dimensional X-HQFT, called *cohomological X-HQFT*,

 $Z^{\theta} : \mathsf{XCob}_n \to \mathsf{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}}.$ 



*n*-dimensional X-HQFTs produce numerical invariants of homotopy classes of maps defined from a closed *n*-manifold to X.

# Results on 3-dimensional TQFTs and HQFTs

There are two main constructions of 3-dimensional TQFTs:

• Turaev-Viro-Barrett-Westbury state-sum TQFT

using repres. generalizing to spherical  $of U_q(sl_2)$  fusion cats

 the rough idea is assigning states to a triangulation of a 3-manifold and summing over all states.

There are two main constructions of 3-dimensional TQFTs:

• Turaev-Viro-Barrett-Westbury state-sum TQFT

using repres. generalizing to spherical  $f(u_q(sl_2))$  fusion cats

- the rough idea is assigning states to a triangulation of a 3-manifold and summing over all states.
- Witten -Reshetikhin-Turaey surgery TQFT

QFT-Feynman path integral repres. of quasi-triangular Hopf algebras ↔ Modular tensor categories

• the rough idea is coloring surgery representation of a 3-manifold (possibly a knot lying inside) and summing/integrating over all colorings.

There are two main constructions of 3-dimensional TQFTs:

• Turaev-Viro-Barrett-Westbury state-sum TQFT  $\tau_{TV}$  : Cob<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Vect<sub>C</sub>

using repres. generalizing to spherical fusion cats  $U_q(sl_2)$ 

- the rough idea is assigning states to a triangulation of a 3-manifold and summing over all states.
- Witten -Reshetikhin-Turaev surgery TQFT  $\tau_{RT}$  : Cob<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Vect<sub>C</sub>

QFT-Feynman path integral repres. of quasi-triangular Hopf algebras ↔ Modular tensor categories

- the rough idea is coloring surgery representation of a 3-manifold (possibly a knot lying inside) and summing/integrating over all colorings.
- <u>Turaev-Virelizier</u>: these two TQFTs are related by the center construction. More precisely, the center Z(C) of a spherical fusion category C is a modular tensor category and for a closed oriented 3-manifold M, we have  $\tau_{RI}^{Z(C)}(M) = \tau_{TV}^{C}(M)$ .

In 3d, surgery and state-sum TQFTs are related by the center construction on the corresponding algebraic notions.

## 3-dimensional HQFTs with aspherical targets

Let  $X \simeq K(G, 1)$  for some group G. Then, state-sum and surgery TQFTs extend to X-HQFTs:

## 3-dimensional HQFTs with aspherical targets

Let  $X \simeq K(G, 1)$  for some group G. Then, state-sum and surgery TQFTs extend to X-HQFTs:

• Turaev-Virelizier state-sum X-HQFT  $\tau_{TV}^{\Delta}$  : XCob<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Vect<sub>C</sub>

using spherical G-fusion categories

• the states assigned to a triangulation of a 3-manifold are required to be coherent with the homotopy class of a map.
## 3-dimensional HQFTs with aspherical targets

Let  $X \simeq K(G, 1)$  for some group G. Then, state-sum and surgery TQFTs extend to X-HQFTs:

• Turaev-Virelizier state-sum X-HQFT  $\tau_{TV}^{\Delta}$  : XCob<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Vect<sub>C</sub>

using spherical G-fusion categories

- the states assigned to a triangulation of a 3-manifold are required to be coherent with the homotopy class of a map.
- Turaev-Virelizier surgery X-HQFT  $\tau_{TV}$  : XCob<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Vect<sub>C</sub>

Modular G-tensor categories

• the colorings assigned to a surgery representation of a 3-manifold (possibly a knot lying inside) are required to be coherent with respect to the homotopy class of a map.

## 3-dimensional HQFTs with aspherical targets

Let  $X \simeq K(G, 1)$  for some group G. Then, state-sum and surgery TQFTs extend to X-HQFTs:

• Turaev-Virelizier state-sum X-HQFT  $\tau_{TV}^{\Delta}$  : XCob<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Vect<sub>C</sub>

using spherical G-fusion categories

- the states assigned to a triangulation of a 3-manifold are required to be coherent with the homotopy class of a map.
- Turaev-Virelizier surgery X-TQFT  $au_{TV}$  : XCob<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  Vect<sub>C</sub>

Modular G-tensor categories

- the colorings assigned to a surgery representation of a 3-manifold (possibly a knot lying inside) are required to be coherent with respect to the homotopy class of a map.
- <u>Turaev-Virelizier</u>: these two X-HQFTs are related by the *G*-center construction. More precisely, the *G*-center  $Z^G(\mathcal{C})$  of a spherical *G*-fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a modular *G*-tensor category and for a morphism  $\emptyset \xrightarrow{(M,g)}{\emptyset} \emptyset$  in XCob<sub>3</sub> we have  $\tau_{TV}^{Z^G(\mathcal{C})}(M,g) = (\tau_{TV}^{\Delta})^{\mathcal{C}}(M,g)$ .

The relationship between 3d TQFTs extends to 3d surgery and state-sum HQFTs with aspherical targets.

# Homotopy *n*-types and Main Theorem

#### Homotopy *n*-types

#### Definition

A homotopy *n*-type is a top. space X with  $\pi_i(X, x) = 0$  for all i > n.

#### Homotopy *n*-types; *n* = 1

#### Definition

A homotopy *n*-type is a top. space X with  $\pi_i(X, x) = 0$  for all i > n.

• 1-types are precisely *K*(*G*, 1)-spaces. Equivalently, groups model homotopy 1-types.

#### Definition

A homotopy *n*-type is a top. space X with  $\pi_i(X, x) = 0$  for all i > n.

- 1-types are precisely *K*(*G*, 1)-spaces. Equivalently, groups model homotopy 1-types.
- Theorem (MacLane-Whitehead): Crossed modules model homotopy 2-types.

#### Definition

A homotopy *n*-type is a top. space X with  $\pi_i(X, x) = 0$  for all i > n.

- 1-types are precisely *K*(*G*, 1)-spaces. Equivalently, groups model homotopy 1-types.
- Theorem (MacLane-Whitehead): Crossed modules model homotopy 2-types.

#### Definition

A crossed module is a group homomorphism  $\chi : E \to H$  with H acts on E (denoted  $h \cdot e = {}^{h}e$  for  $h \in H$  and  $e \in E$ ) such that

- $\chi$  is *H*-equivariant (*H* acts on itself by conjugation) i.e.  $\chi({}^{h}e) = h\chi(e)h^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $e \in E$
- $\chi$  satisfies Peiffer identity, i.e.  $\chi(e)e' = ee'e^{-1}$  for all  $e, e' \in E$ .

#### Definition

A homotopy *n*-type is a top. space X with  $\pi_i(X, x) = 0$  for all i > n.

- 1-types are precisely K(G, 1)-spaces. Equivalently, groups model homotopy 1-types.  $G \mapsto BG \simeq K(G, 1) \otimes X \simeq K(G, 1) \mapsto \pi_1(X, X) = G$
- Theorem (MacLane-Whitehead): Crossed modules model homotopy 2-types.

#### Definition

A crossed module is a group homomorphism  $\chi : E \to H$  with H acts on E (denoted  $h \cdot e = {}^{h}e$  for  $h \in H$  and  $e \in E$ ) such that

- $\chi$  is *H*-equivariant (*H* acts on itself by conjugation) i.e.  $\chi({}^{h}e) = h\chi(e)h^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $e \in E$
- $\chi$  satisfies Peiffer identity, i.e.  $\chi(e)e' = ee'e^{-1}$  for all  $e, e' \in E$ .

#### Definition

A homotopy *n*-type is a top. space X with  $\pi_i(X, x) = 0$  for all i > n.

- 1-types are precisely K(G, 1)-spaces. Equivalently, groups model homotopy 1-types.  $G \mapsto BG \simeq K(G, 1) \& X \simeq K(G, 1) \mapsto \pi_1(X, X) = G$
- Theorem (MacLane-Whitehead): Crossed modules model homotopy 2-types. For any homotopy 2-type X, there exists a crossed module  $\chi : E \to H$  such that  $X \simeq B\chi$  where  $\pi_1(B\chi, x) = \operatorname{coker}(\chi)$  and  $\pi_2(B\chi, x) = \ker(\chi)$ .

#### Definition

A crossed module is a group homomorphism  $\chi : E \to H$  with H acts on E (denoted  $h \cdot e = {}^{h}e$  for  $h \in H$  and  $e \in E$ ) such that

- $\chi$  is *H*-equivariant (*H* acts on itself by conjugation) i.e.  $\chi({}^{h}e) = h\chi(e)h^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$  and  $e \in E$
- $\chi$  satisfies Peiffer identity, i.e.  $\chi(e)e' = ee'e^{-1}$  for all  $e, e' \in E$ .

**Question:** Can we generalize Turaev-Virelizier results from 1-type targets to 2-type targets?

**Question:** Can we generalize Turaev-Virelizier results from 1-type targets to 2-type targets?

Answer: For the 3-dimensional state-sum HQFT, YES.

Theorem (S.-Virelizier)

Let  $\chi: E \to H$  be a crossed module. Then any spherical  $\chi$ -fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  gives rise to a 3-dimensional HQFT  $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}$  with target  $B\chi$ .

**Question:** Can we generalize Turaev-Virelizier results from 1-type targets to 2-type targets?

Answer: For the 3-dimensional state-sum HQFT, YES.

#### Theorem (S.-Virelizier)

Let  $\chi: E \to H$  be a crossed module. Then any spherical  $\chi$ -fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  gives rise to a 3-dimensional HQFT  $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}$  with target  $B\chi$ .

This result generalizes the state-sum TQFT/HQFT results as follows:

- $\chi = id_H \implies \tau_{\chi}^{\Delta}$  is equivalent to 3d state-sum TQFT  $\tau_{TV}$ .
- $\chi: E \hookrightarrow H \implies \tau_{\chi}^{\Delta}$  is equivalent to  $\tau_{TV}^{\Delta}$  with  $B\chi \simeq K(\operatorname{coker}\chi, 1)$ .

## What type of invariant such an HQFT yield?

Given a spherical  $\chi$ -fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then for any pair (M,g) where M is a closed oriented 3-manifold and  $g \in [M, B\chi]$  is a homotopy class, the  $B\chi$ -HQFT  $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}$  yields a numerical invariant  $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}(M,g) \in \mathbb{C}$  which is multiplicative with respect to disjoint union operation.



Our main goal is to explain how this number is derived.

## Spherical Fusion Categories

$$id_X = \begin{vmatrix} Y \\ f : X \to Y \end{pmatrix} = \int_X \begin{vmatrix} Y \\ f \\ X \end{vmatrix}$$

$$id_{X} = \begin{vmatrix} & & Y \\ X & & Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Y & & & Z \\ f & & g \circ f = \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ g \\ Y \\ X & & f \otimes h = \begin{pmatrix} Y & V \\ f \\ f \\ X & & U \end{pmatrix}$$







Graphical calculus is a very useful tool that allows to represent morphisms in a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)$  by planar diagrams.



A monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear if for any two objects X, Y of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

- Hom<sub>C</sub>(X, Y) is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space,
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot} \, \circ \, \text{and} \otimes \text{are } \mathbb{C}\text{-bilinear.}$

A *rigid* category is a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  which admits both a left duality  $\{({}^{\vee}X, ev_X : {}^{\vee}X \otimes X \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  and a right duality  $\{(X^{\vee}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^{\vee} \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ .

A *rigid* category is a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  which admits both a left duality  $\{({}^{\vee}X, ev_X : {}^{\vee}X \otimes X \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  and a right duality  $\{(X^{\vee}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^{\vee} \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ .



A *rigid* category is a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  which admits both a left duality  $\{({}^{\vee}X, ev_X : {}^{\vee}X \otimes X \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  and a right duality  $\{(X^{\vee}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^{\vee} \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ .



$$\{X^*, ev_X : X^* \otimes X \to \mathbb{1}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^* \to \mathbb{1}\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}.$$

A *rigid* category is a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  which admits both a left duality  $\{({}^{\vee}X, ev_X : {}^{\vee}X \otimes X \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  and a right duality  $\{(X^{\vee}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^{\vee} \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ .



$$\{X^*, ev_X : X^* \otimes X \to \mathbb{1}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^* \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}.$$
$$id_{X^*} = \bigvee_{X^*} = \bigvee_{X^*} X$$

A *rigid* category is a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  which admits both a left duality  $\{({}^{\vee}X, ev_X : {}^{\vee}X \otimes X \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  and a right duality  $\{(X^{\vee}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^{\vee} \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ .



$$\{X^*, ev_X : X^* \otimes X \to \mathbb{1}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^* \to \mathbb{1}\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}.$$
$$id_{X^*} = \bigvee_{X^*} = \bigvee_X \begin{array}{c} |A | B | C \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ X \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ X \end{array} = \bigvee_{Y^*} \begin{array}{c} |A | B | C \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ X \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ X \end{array} = \bigvee_{Y^*} \begin{array}{c} |A | B^* | C \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ X^* \\ \downarrow & \downarrow \\ X^* \\ \downarrow \\ X^* \\ \downarrow \\ Y \end{array}$$

A *rigid* category is a monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  which admits both a left duality  $\{({}^{\vee}X, ev_X : {}^{\vee}X \otimes X \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$  and a right duality  $\{(X^{\vee}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^{\vee} \to \mathbb{1})\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ .



$$\{X^*, ev_X : X^* \otimes X \to \mathbb{1}, \widetilde{ev}_X : X \otimes X^* \to \mathbb{1}\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}.$$
$$id_{X^*} = \bigvee_{X^*} = \bigvee_{X} \begin{array}{c} A & B & C \\ \hline j \\ X & Y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} A & B^* & C \\ \hline j \\ X & Y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} A & B^* & C \\ \hline j \\ X & Y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} ev_X \\ F \\ X & Y \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} ev_X \\ F \\ X & Y \end{array}$$

#### An object *i* of C is called *simple* if Hom<sub>C</sub>(*i*, *i*) $\cong \mathbb{C}$ .

## **Fusion categories**

#### An object *i* of C is called simple if Hom<sub>C</sub> $(i, i) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

#### Definition

A fusion  $\mathbb{C}$ -category is a monoidal  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category  $\mathcal{C}$  such that there exists a <u>finite</u> set *I* of simple objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying the conditions

- ·  $1 \in I$ ,
- Hom<sub>C</sub>(*i*,*j*) = 0 for any distinct *i*,*j*  $\in$  *I*,
- $\cdot\,$  every object of  ${\cal C}$  is a direct sum of finitely many elements of I.

## **Fusion categories**

#### An object *i* of C is called simple if Hom<sub>C</sub> $(i, i) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

#### Definition

A fusion  $\mathbb{C}$ -category is a monoidal  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear category  $\mathcal{C}$  such that there exists a <u>finite</u> set *I* of simple objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying the conditions

- ·  $1 \in I$ ,
- Hom<sub>C</sub>(*i*,*j*) = 0 for any distinct *i*,*j*  $\in$  *I*,
- $\cdot\,$  every object of  ${\cal C}$  is a direct sum of finitely many elements of I.

**Example** Representations of a finite group. **Example** Representations of quantum groups. **Example** Given a finite group *G*, we have a category *G*;  $Ob(\mathcal{G}) = G$  and  $Hom_{\mathcal{G}}(g, h) = \delta_{g,h}\mathbb{C}$  for all  $g, h \in G$ where  $g \otimes h = gh$  for all  $g, h \in G$  and  $k \otimes l = kl$  for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$ .

#### Spherical categories and graphical calculus on a sphere

A *spherical* category is a pivotal category whose left and right traces coincide. That is, for any endomorphism f, we have  $tr_l(f) = tr_r(f)$ .



## Spherical categories and graphical calculus on a sphere

A *spherical* category is a pivotal category whose left and right traces coincide. That is, for any endomorphism f, we have  $tr_l(f) = tr_r(f)$ .



The graphical calculus extends from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $S^2$  when the monoidal category is spherical. In other words, the representation of a morphism by a graph *P* is invariant under the isotopies of *P* in  $S^2$ .

## Spherical categories and graphical calculus on a sphere

A *spherical* category is a pivotal category whose left and right traces coincide. That is, for any endomorphism f, we have  $tr_l(f) = tr_r(f)$ .



The graphical calculus extends from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $S^2$  when the monoidal category is spherical. In other words, the representation of a morphism by a graph *P* is invariant under the isotopies of *P* in  $S^2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a pivotal fusion cat. and I be a repres. of simple objects;

- left dimension of an object  $X \in C$ : dim<sub>l</sub> $(X) = tr_l(id_X) \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- right dimension of an object  $X \in C$ : dim<sub>r</sub> $(X) = tr_r(id_X) \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- dimension of C: dim $(C) = \sum_{i \in I} \dim_l(i) \dim_r(i)$ .

## Crossed module graded monoidal categories

Let  $\chi: E \to H$  be a crossed module. A  $\chi$ -graded category is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$  which is

- E-Hom graded, i.e.  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) = \bigoplus_{e \in E} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{e}(X,Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- endowed with a subclass  $\mathcal{C}_{hom}$  and a degree map  $|\cdot|: \mathcal{C}_{hom} \to H$ such that
  - $\cdot X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  where  $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_{hom}$ .
  - For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{hom}$ , we have  $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}^{e}(X, Y) = 0$  if  $|Y| \neq \chi(e)|X|$ ,
  - For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{hom}$ , we have  $X \otimes Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Z_i$  with  $|Z_i| = |X||Y|$ ,
  - $\cdot |\mathbb{1}| = 1 \in H$ ,
  - For any homogeneous morphisms  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $s(\alpha) \in C_{hom}$ , we have  $|\alpha \otimes \beta| = |\alpha| \left( [|s(\alpha)||\beta|] \right) \in E,$   $\cdot |a_{X,Y,Z}| = |l_X| = |r_X| = 1 \in E \text{ for all } X, Y, Z \in C.$

## Crossed module graded monoidal categories

Let  $\chi : E \to H$  be a crossed module. A  $\chi$ -graded category is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear monoidal category ( $\mathcal{C}, \otimes$ ) which is

- *E*-Hom graded, i.e.  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) = \bigoplus_{e \in E} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{e}(X, Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- endowed with a subclass  $\mathcal{C}_{hom}$  and a degree map  $|\cdot|:\mathcal{C}_{hom}\to H$  such that
  - $X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  where  $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_{hom}$ ,
  - For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{hom}$ , we have  $\operatorname{Hom}^{e}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) = 0$  if  $|Y| \neq \chi(e)|X|$ ,
  - For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{hom}$ , we have  $X \otimes Y = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Z_i$  with  $|Z_i| = |X||Y|$ ,
  - $\cdot |\mathbb{1}| = 1 \in H$ ,
  - For any homogeneous morphisms  $\alpha, \beta$  with  $s(\alpha) \in C_{\text{hom}}$ , we have  $|\alpha \otimes \beta| = |\alpha| (|s(\alpha)|\beta|) \in E$ ,
  - $|a_{X,Y,Z}| = |l_X| = |r_X| = 1 \in E \text{ for all } X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}.$

A *pivotal structure* on a  $\chi$ -graded monoidal category C is a pivotal duality where all evaluation morphisms  $ev_X$  and  $\widetilde{ev}_X$  are homogeneous of degree  $1 \in E$ .

A pivotal  $\chi$ -graded monoidal category C is *spherical* if for any degree 1 endomorphism  $f \in \text{Hom}_{C}^{1_{E}}(X, X)$ , left and right traces coincide.

61
# Spherical $\chi$ -fusion categories

#### Definition (S.-Virelizier)

A spherical  $\chi$ -fusion category (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a spherical  $\chi$ -graded category ( $\mathcal{C}, \otimes$ ) such that

- C is *E*-semisimple, i.e. for any  $e \in E$  and  $X \in C$ , we have  $X = \bigoplus_{i \in J}^{e} X_i$ where each  $X_i$  is simple (i.e.  $\operatorname{End}^1(X_i) \cong \mathbb{C}$ ),
- 1 is simple,
- For any  $h \in H$ , the set  $I_h$  of 1-isomorphism classes of degree h homogeneous simple objects is finite and nonempty.

# Spherical $\chi$ -fusion categories

#### Definition (S.-Virelizier)

A spherical  $\chi$ -fusion category (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a spherical  $\chi$ -graded category ( $\mathcal{C}, \otimes$ ) such that

- C is *E*-semisimple, i.e. for any  $e \in E$  and  $X \in C$ , we have  $X = \bigoplus_{i \in J}^{e} X_i$ where each  $X_i$  is simple (i.e.  $\operatorname{End}^1(X_i) \cong \mathbb{C}$ ),
- 1 is simple,
- For any  $h \in H$ , the set of 1-isomorphism classes of degree h homogeneous simple objects is finite and nonempty.

#### **Example:** Consider the category $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_{\chi}$ whose

- $Ob(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{G}_{\chi}) = H$
- Hom<sub> $\mathbb{C}G_{\chi}$ </sub> $(x, y) = \{e \in E \mid y = \chi(e)x\}\mathbb{C}$  for  $x, y \in H$ .
- monoidal product of objects  $x \otimes y = xy$
- monoidal product of morphisms  $(x \xrightarrow{e} y) \otimes (z \xrightarrow{f} t) = xy \xrightarrow{e^{x}f} zt$ .

A Hopf  $\chi$ -coalgebra is a family  $\{A_x\}_{x\in H}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras endowed with

- coassociative algebra homoms.  $\{\Delta_{x,y} : A_{xy} \to A_x \otimes A_y\}_{x,y \in H}$
- counitary algebra homomorphism  $\varepsilon \colon A_1 \to \mathbb{C}$
- bijective  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear homoms.  $S = \{S_x : A_{x^{-1}} \to A_x\}_{x \in H}$  [antipode].
- algebra isomorphisms  $\{\phi_{x,e} \colon A_x \to A_{\chi(e)x}\}_{x \in H, e \in E}$

satisfying certain conditions.

A Hopf  $\chi$ -coalgebra is a family  $\{A_x\}_{x\in H}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras endowed with

- coassociative algebra homoms.  $\{\Delta_{x,y} : A_{xy} \to A_x \otimes A_y\}_{x,y \in H}$
- + counitary algebra homomorphism  $\varepsilon\colon A_1\to \mathbb{C}$
- bijective  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear homoms.  $S = \{S_x : A_{x^{-1}} \to A_x\}_{x \in H}$  [antipode].
- algebra isomorphisms  $\{\phi_{x,e} \colon A_x \to A_{\chi(e)x}\}_{x \in H, e \in E}$

satisfying certain conditions.

#### Theorem (S.-Virelizier)

The category mod(A) of representations of a Hopf  $\chi$ -coalgebra  $A = \{A_x\}_{x \in H}$  is  $\chi$ -fusion if  $A_1$  is semisimple and each  $A_x$  is nonzero and finite dimensional.

#### Hopf $\chi$ -coalgebras: Graphical definition



# State-sum Homotopy Invariants of Maps

Let *M* be a closed oriented 3-manifold and  $g \in [M, B\chi]$  be a homotopy class of a map.

- Given a triangulation  $\Delta$  of M with oriented 2-faces  $\Delta^{(2)} \subset \Delta$ .
- Encode the data of g by specifying a  $\chi$ -labeling  $(\alpha, \beta)$  where

$$(\alpha: \Delta^{(2)} \to H, \beta: \Delta^{(1)} \to E)$$

**Question:** How do we specify a  $\chi$ -labeling? **Step 1:** Choose a representative  $\overline{g}$  of g mapping centers of 3-simplices to the basepoint  $x \in B\chi$ .



Let *M* be a closed oriented 3-manifold and  $g \in [M, B\chi]$  be a homotopy class of a map.

- Given a triangulation  $\Delta$  of M with oriented 2-faces  $\Delta^{(2)} \subset \Delta$ .
- Encode the data of g by specifying a  $\chi$ -labeling  $(\alpha, \beta)$  where

$$(\alpha: \Delta^{(2)} \to H, \beta: \Delta^{(1)} \to E)$$

**Question:** How do we specify a  $\chi$ -labeling? **Step 1:** Choose a representative  $\overline{g}$  of g mapping centers of 3-simplices to the basepoint  $x \in B\chi$ .



#### $\chi$ -labeling of a triangulation

- **Step 2:** Choose arcs connecting central points and orient each arc using the orientation of the corresponding 2-face and the orientation of *M*.
- **Step 3:** Label an arc  $\gamma$  by an element of H which corresponds to the homotopy class of a loop  $\bar{g}(\gamma) \subset (B\chi)^1 \subset B\chi$ .
- **Step 4:**  $\alpha : \Delta^{(2)} \to H$  maps a 2-face to the *H*-label of the corresponding arc.



## $\chi$ -labeling of a triangulation

- **Step 5:** Around an oriented edge k of  $\Delta$  form a disk  $\delta_k$  whose boundary is the concatenation of the arcs obtained above.
- **Step 6:** For a central point *a* adjacent to *k*, label the pair (*k*, *a*) by an element of *E* which corresponds to the relative homotopy class of  $\bar{g}|_{\delta_R} \subset B\chi$  in  $\pi_2(B\chi, (B\chi)^1, x) = E$ .



• Lemma:  $\{\chi$ -labelings of  $\Delta^{(2)}\}/\text{Gauge group} \cong [M, B\chi].$ 

#### The state-sum invariant

Given a spherical  $\chi$ -fusion category C and a set  $I = \sqcup_{h \in H} I_h$  of representatives of simple objects.

A coloring is a map  $c : \Delta^{(2)} \to I$  such that  $c(r) \in I_{\alpha(r)}$  for all  $r \in \Delta^{(2)}$ .

Given a spherical  $\chi$ -fusion category C and a set  $I = \bigsqcup_{h \in H} I_h$  of representatives of simple objects.

A coloring is a map  $c : \Delta^{(2)} \to I$  such that  $c(r) \in I_{\alpha(r)}$  for all  $r \in \Delta^{(2)}$ .

Given a coloring  $c : \Delta^{(2)} \to I$ , we obtain a scalar  $|c| \in \mathbb{C}$  as follows.

• To each pair (*k*, *a*) of an oriented edge *k* and a central point *a* adjacent to *k*, we assign a vector space

 $H_{c}(k,a) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\beta(k,a)}(\mathbb{1}, c(r_{1})^{\varepsilon_{1}} \otimes c(r_{2})^{\varepsilon_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes c(r_{n})^{\varepsilon_{n}}).$ 



• Doing this assignment for all oriented edges, we obtain a finite-dimensional C-vector space

$$H_c = \bigotimes_{\substack{\text{oriented}\\ \text{edges } k}} H_c(k, a_k).$$

• Lemma:  $H_c(k, a_k)$  and  $H_c(-k, a_k)$  are dual to each other. This yields a vector  $*_k \in H_c(k, a_k) \otimes H_c(-k, a_k)$ .



 $f \in H_c(k, a) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\beta(k,a)}(\mathbb{1}, c(r_1) \otimes c(r_2)^* \otimes c(r_3)^* \otimes c(r_4))$  $g \in H_c(-k, a) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{-\beta(k,a)}(\mathbb{1}, c(r_4)^* \otimes c(r_3) \otimes c(r_2) \otimes c(r_1)^*)$ 

- Doing this assignment for all oriented edges, we obtain a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}\text{-vector space}$ 

$$H_c = \bigotimes_{\substack{\text{oriented} \\ \text{edges } k}} H_c(k, a_k).$$

• Lemma:  $H_c(k, a_k)$  and  $H_c(-k, a_k)$  are dual to each other. This yields a vector  $*_k \in H_c(k, a_k) \otimes H_c(-k, a_k)$ .



• Each coloring c produces a vector  $*_c = \bigotimes_{\substack{\text{unoriented} * \tilde{k} \\ edges \tilde{k}}} \in H_c.$ 

The next step involves vertices:

- For any vertex v of  $\Delta$ , choose a 3-ball neighborhood  $B_v^3$  of v.
- The intersection  $\partial B_v^3 \cap \Delta^{(2)}$  yields a graph  $\Gamma_v$  on  $\partial B_v^2$ .



- A coloring c assigns to each vertex of  $\Gamma_{\nu}$  a Hom-vector space in  $\mathcal{C}.$
- The assigned vector space is precisely  $H_c(k_1, a)$  where  $k_1$  is the corresponding edge and oriented away from v.

 $\cdot$  Then each vertex v of  $\Delta$  and a coloring c yields a dual vector

$$F_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma_{v}^{c}):\underbrace{H_{c}(k_{1},a)\otimes H_{c}(k_{2},a)\otimes \cdots \otimes H_{c}(k_{n},a)}_{H_{c}(\Gamma_{v}^{c})}\to \mathbb{C}$$

where  $k_i$ 's are the edges incident to v and oriented away from v.



• Repeating this process for all vertices, we obtain

 $\otimes_{v \in \Delta} H(\Gamma_v^c)^* \cong \otimes_v \otimes_{k_v} H_c(k_v, a_v)^* \cong \bigotimes_{\substack{\text{oriented} \\ edges \ k}} H_c(k, a_k)^* = H_c^*$ 

- Denote the image of  $\bigotimes_{v \in \Delta} \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Gamma_v^c) \in \bigotimes_{v \in \Delta} H(\Gamma_v^c)^*$  under these isomorphisms by  $V_c \in H_c^*$ .
- Lastly, the scalar |c| is obtained by the evaluation  $V_c(*_c) \in \mathbb{C}$ .

#### The state-sum invariant

The state-sum invariant of a pair (M, g) is defined as

$$\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}(M,g) = (\dim \mathcal{C}_{1}^{1})^{-(\# 3 \text{-simplices of } \Delta)} \sum_{\substack{\text{colorings}\\ c: \Delta^{(2)} \to I}} \left( \prod_{r \in \Delta^{(2)}} \dim(c(r)) \right) |c| \in \mathbb{C}.$$

where  $C_1^1$  is the fusion subcategory of C consisting of degree 1 objects and degree 1 morphisms.

Recall that the inputs for  $\tau^{\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(M,g)$  are

- $\cdot$  triangulation  $\Delta$  of *M*,
- $\chi$ -labeling  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of  $\Delta$  associated to g.
- spherical  $\chi$ -fusion category  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- $\cdot$  representative set *I* of simple objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

#### Theorem (S.-Virelizier)

 $\tau^{\Delta}_{\mathcal{C}}(M,g)$  is independent of the choices of  $\Delta$ ,  $(\alpha,\beta)$ , and *I*.

# Thanks for your attention!