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Functorial Topological Quantum Field Theories

## n-dimensional cobordism category

The $n$-dimensional cobordism category $\mathrm{Cob}_{n}$ has

- closed oriented ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifolds as objects,
- diffeomorphism classes (relative $\partial$ ) of $n$-dimensional oriented cobordisms as morphisms.
- ○ : gluing manifolds along common boundary components


## n-dimensional cobordism category

The $n$-dimensional cobordism category $\mathrm{Cob}_{n}$ has

- closed oriented ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifolds as objects,
- diffeomorphism classes (relative $\partial$ ) of $n$-dimensional oriented cobordisms as morphisms.
- : gluing manifolds along common boundary components

$\rightarrow\left(\right.$ Cob $\left._{n}, \amalg\right)$ is a symmetric monoidal category.


## Functorial topological quantum field theories

The category Vect $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex vector spaces has

- finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-complex vector spaces as objects
- linear transformations as morphisms.
$\rightarrow\left(\right.$ Vect $\left._{\mathrm{C}}, \otimes\right)$ is a symmetric monoidal category.


## Definition (Atiyah)

An n-dimensional topological quantum field theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$
z: \operatorname{Cob}_{n} \rightarrow \text { Vect }_{\mathrm{c}} .
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## Functorial TQFTs

The category Vect $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of complex vector spaces has

- finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-complex vector spaces as objects,
- linear transformations as morphisms.
$\rightarrow\left(\right.$ Vect $\left._{\mathrm{C}}, \otimes\right)$ is a symmetric monoidal category.


## Definition (Atiyah)

An n-dimensional topological quantum field theory (TQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$
z: \text { Cob }_{n} \rightarrow \text { Vect }_{\mathrm{c}} .
$$


n-dimensional TQFTs produce numerical diffeomorphism invariants of closed
$n$-manifolds which are multiplicative with respect to disjoint union operation and behave well under cut-paste operations.

## Examples of TQFTs in <br> Low-dimensions

## 1-dimensional TQFTs

- Ob(Cob ${ }_{1}$ ): closed oriented 0-dimensional manifolds
- Ob(Cob ${ }_{1}$ ): finitely many oriented points
- Under the operation $\amalg$, the collection $\mathrm{Ob}\left(\mathrm{Cob}_{1}\right)$ is generated by two objects; namely, •+ and •-.


## 1-dimensional TQFTs

- Ob(Cob ${ }_{1}$ ): closed oriented 0-dimensional manifolds
- Ob(Cob ${ }_{1}$ ): finitely many oriented points
- Under the operation $\amalg$, the collection $\operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathrm{Cob}_{1}\right)$ is generated by two objects; namely, •+ and •-.
- Mor( $C_{1} b_{1}$ ): diffeom. classes of compact oriented 1-manifolds
- Under the operation $\amalg$, the collection $\operatorname{Mor}\left(\operatorname{Cob}_{1}\right)$ is generated by



## 1-dimensional TQFTs

Given a 1-dimensional TQFT $Z: \mathrm{Cob}_{1} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the following data

- $Z(\bullet+)=V$
- $Z(\bullet-)=W$
- $Z(\mathrm{ev}): V \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- $Z(c o e v): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow W \otimes V$.


## 1-dimensional TQFTs

Given a 1-dimensional TQFT $Z: \mathrm{Cob}_{1} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the following data

- $Z(\bullet+)=V$
- $Z(\bullet-)=W$
- $Z(e v): V \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- $Z($ coev $): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow W \otimes V$.

The equivalence of the following cobordisms implies that $Z(\mathrm{ev})$ is a nondegenerate bilinear pairing, so $W \cong V^{*}$.


## 1-dimensional TQFTs

Given a 1-dimensional TQFT Z: $\mathrm{Cob}_{1} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{C}}$ with the following data

- $Z(\bullet+)=V$
- $Z(\bullet-)=W$
- $Z(e v): V \otimes W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- $Z($ coev $): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow W \otimes V$.

Let us compute the numerical invariant associated with connected closed oriented 1-manifold, namely the circle.


## 2-dimensional TQFTs

- Ob(Cob ${ }_{2}$ ): closed oriented 1-dimensional manifolds
- Ob( $\mathrm{Cob}_{2}$ ): finitely many oriented circles


## 2-dimensional TQFTs

- Ob(Cob ${ }_{2}$ ): closed oriented 1-dimensional manifolds
- Ob( $\mathrm{Cob}_{2}$ ): finitely many oriented circles
- Mor( $\mathrm{Cob}_{2}$ ): diffeom. classes of compact oriented 2-manifolds
- Under the operation $\amalg$, the collection $\operatorname{Mor}\left(\mathrm{Cob}_{2}\right)$ is generated by



## 2-dimensional TQFTs

- Ob( $\mathrm{Cob}_{2}$ ): closed oriented 1-dimensional manifolds
- $\mathrm{Ob}\left(\mathrm{Cob}_{2}\right)$ : finitely many oriented circles
- Mor( $\mathrm{Cob}_{2}$ ): diffeom. classes of compact oriented 2-manifolds
- Under the operation $\amalg$, the collection $\operatorname{Mor}\left(\mathrm{Cob}_{2}\right)$ is generated by


Given a 2-dimensional TQFT $Z: \mathrm{Cob}_{2} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathrm{C}}$, with the following data

- $Z\left(S^{1}\right)=V$
- $Z(\mu): V \otimes V \rightarrow V$
- $Z(\Delta): V \rightarrow V \otimes V$
- $Z(\eta): \mathbb{C} \rightarrow V$
- $Z(\varepsilon): V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.


## 2-dimensional TQFTs



## Definition

Frobenius algebra is a finite dimensional associative, unital algebra $V$ equipped with a nondegenerate bilinear form $\sigma: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\sigma(a \cdot b, c)=\sigma(a, b \cdot c)$ for all $a, b, c \in V$.

## 2-dimensional TQFTs



## Definition

Frobenius algebra is a finite dimensional associative, unital algebra $V$ equipped with a nondegenerate bilinear form $\sigma: V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\sigma(a \cdot b, c)=\sigma(a, b \cdot c)$ for all $a, b, c \in V$.


Theorem (Abrams, Kock)
2-dimensional TQFTs are classified by commut. Frobenius algebras.

Homotopy Quantum Field
Theories

## Endowing cobordism category with continuous maps

Fix a connected pointed CW complex $(X, x)$ (called the target space).
The $n$-dimensional $X$-cobordism category $X^{\text {Cob }}{ }_{n}$ has

- closed oriented pointed ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifolds equipped with continuous pointed maps as objects
- diffeomorphism classes of $n$-dimensional oriented cobordisms equipped with homotopy classes of maps to $X$ (restricting to those pointed continuous maps defined boundary manifolds) as morphisms.


## Endowing cobordism category with continuous maps

Fix a connected pointed CW complex $(X, x)$ (called the target space).
The $n$-dimensional $X$-cobordism category $X$ Cob $_{n}$ has

- closed oriented pointed ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifolds equipped with continuous pointed maps as objects
- diffeomorphism classes of $n$-dimensional oriented cobordisms equipped with homotopy classes of maps to $X$ (restricting to those pointed continuous maps defined boundary manifolds) as morphisms.



## Homotopy quantum field theories

## Definition (Turaev)

An $n$-dimensional homotopy quantum field theory with target $X$ (X-HQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$
Z: \text { XCob }_{n} \rightarrow \text { Vect }_{C} .
$$

- For $X=\{\bullet\}$, we have $X$-HQFT= TQFT.
- For any target $X$, we have $\mathrm{Cob}_{n} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{XCob}_{n}$ by introducing points on connected components and taking constant maps.
- When $X \simeq K(G, 1)$ for some group $G$, one can replace continuous pointed maps on objects of $\mathrm{XCob}_{n}$ with pointed homotopy classes of continuous pointed maps.


## HQFTs

## Definition (Turaev)

An n-dimensional homotopy quantum field theory with target $X$ (X-HQFT) is a symmetric monoidal functor

$$
Z: \text { XCob }_{n} \rightarrow \text { Vect }_{C} .
$$



## Cohomological HQFTs

## Example (Turaev)

For any cohomology class $\theta \in H^{n}\left(X, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$, there exists an n-dimensional X-HQFT, called cohomological X-HQFT,

$$
z^{\theta}: \mathrm{XCob}_{n} \rightarrow \text { Vect }_{c}
$$



## Cohomological HQFTs

## Example (Turaev)

For any cohomology class $\theta \in H^{n}\left(X, \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$, there exists an n-dimensional X-HQFT, called cohomological X-HQFT,

$$
z^{\theta}: \mathrm{XCob}_{n} \rightarrow \text { Vect }_{c}
$$


n-dimensional X-HQFTs produce numerical invariants of homotopy classes of maps defined from a closed $n$-manifold to $X$.

Results on 3-dimensional TQFTs and HQFTs

## 3-dimensional TQFTs

There are two main constructions of 3-dimensional TQFTs:

- $\underbrace{\text { Turaev-Viro }}_{\begin{array}{c}\text { using repres. } \\ \text { of } U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)\end{array}} \underbrace{\text { Barrett-Westbury }}_{\begin{array}{c}\text { generalizing to spherical } \\ \text { fusion cats }\end{array}}$ state-sum TQFT
- the rough idea is assigning states to a triangulation of a 3-manifold and summing over all states.
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- the rough idea is coloring surgery representation of a 3-manifold (possibly a knot lying inside) and summing/integrating over all colorings.


## 3-dimensional TQFTs

There are two main constructions of 3-dimensional TQFTs:

- $\underbrace{\text { Turaev-Viro }}_{\begin{array}{c}\text { using repres. } \\ \text { of } U_{q}\left(s l_{2}\right)\end{array}}-\underbrace{\text { Barrett-Westbury }}_{\begin{array}{c}\text { generalizing to spherical } \\ \text { fusion cats }\end{array}}$ state-sum TQFT $\tau_{T V}: \mathrm{Cob}_{3} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{C}}$
- the rough idea is assigning states to a triangulation of a 3-manifold and summing over all states.
- $\underbrace{\text { Witten }}$ - $\underbrace{\text { Reshetikhin-Turaev }}$ surgery TQFT $\tau_{R T}:$ Cob $_{3} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{C}}$

QFT-Feynman repres. of quasi-triangular
path integral Hopf algebras $\rightsquigarrow$
Modular tensor categories

- the rough idea is coloring surgery representation of a 3-manifold (possibly a knot lying inside) and summing/integrating over all colorings.
- Turaev-Virelizier: these two TQFTs are related by the center construction. More precisely, the center $Z(\mathcal{C})$ of a spherical fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is a modular tensor category and for a closed oriented 3-manifold $M$, we have $\tau_{R T}^{Z(C)}(M)=\tau_{T V}^{C}(M)$.

In 3d, surgery and state-sum TQFTs are related by the center construction on the corresponding algebraic notions.

## 3-dimensional HQFTs with aspherical targets

Let $X \simeq K(G, 1)$ for some group $G$. Then, state-sum and surgery TQFTs extend to X -HQFTs:
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- $\underbrace{\text { Turaev-Virelizier }}_{\begin{array}{c}\text { using spherical } \\ G \text {-fusion categories }\end{array}}$ state-sum X-HQFT $\tau_{T V}^{\Delta}:$ XCob $_{3} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{C}}$
- the states assigned to a triangulation of a 3-manifold are required to be coherent with the homotopy class of a map.
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## 3-dimensional HQFTs with aspherical targets

Let $X \simeq K(G, 1)$ for some group $G$. Then, state-sum and surgery TQFTs extend to X-HQFTs:

- $\underbrace{\text { Turaev-Virelizier }}_{\text {using spherical }}$ state-sum X-HQFT $\tau_{T V}^{\Delta}: \mathrm{XCob}_{3} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{C}}$

G-fusion categories

- the states assigned to a triangulation of a 3-manifold are required to be coherent with the homotopy class of a map.
- $\underbrace{\text { Turaev-Virelizier }}$ surgery X-TQFT $\tau_{T V}:$ XCob $_{3} \rightarrow$ Vect $_{\mathbb{C}}$

Modular G-tensor
categories

- the colorings assigned to a surgery representation of a 3-manifold (possibly a knot lying inside) are required to be coherent with respect to the homotopy class of a map.
- Turaev-Virelizier: these two X-HQFTs are related by the G-center construction. More precisely, the G-center $Z^{G}(\mathcal{C})$ of a spherical $G$-fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is a modular $G$-tensor category and for a morphism $\emptyset \xrightarrow{(M, g)} \emptyset$ in XCob 3 we have $\tau_{T V}^{Z^{G}(\mathcal{C})}(M, g)=\left(\tau_{T V}\right)^{\mathcal{C}}(M, g)$.

The relationship between 3d TQFTs extends to 3d surgery and state-sum HQFTs with aspherical targets.

Homotopy n-types and Main
Theorem

## Homotopy n-types

## Definition

A homotopy $n$-type is a top. space $X$ with $\pi_{i}(X, x)=0$ for all $i>n$.
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## Definition
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## Definition

A homotopy $n$-type is a top. space $X$ with $\pi_{i}(X, x)=0$ for all $i>n$.
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## Definition

A crossed module is a group homomorphism $\chi: E \rightarrow H$ with $H$ acts on $E$ (denoted $h \cdot e={ }^{h} e$ for $h \in H$ and $e \in E$ ) such that

- $\chi$ is $H$-equivariant ( $H$ acts on itself by conjugation) i.e. $\chi\left({ }^{h} e\right)=h \chi(e) h^{-1}$ for all $h \in H$ and $e \in E$
- $\chi$ satisfies Peiffer identity, i.e. ${ }^{\chi(e)} e^{\prime}=e e^{\prime} e^{-1}$ for all $e, e^{\prime} \in E$.
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- Theorem (MacLane-Whitehead): Crossed modules model homotopy 2-types.
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## Homotopy $n$-types; $n=1$ and $n=2$

## Definition

A homotopy $n$-type is a top. space $X$ with $\pi_{i}(X, x)=0$ for all $i>n$.

- 1-types are precisely $K(G, 1)$-spaces. Equivalently, groups model homotopy 1-types. $G \mapsto B G \simeq K(G, 1) \& X \simeq K(G, 1) \mapsto \pi_{1}(X, x)=G$
- Theorem (MacLane-Whitehead): Crossed modules model homotopy 2-types. For any homotopy 2-type $X$, there exists a crossed module $\chi: E \rightarrow H$ such that $X \simeq B \chi$ where $\pi_{1}(B \chi, x)=\operatorname{coker}(\chi)$ and $\pi_{2}(B \chi, x)=\operatorname{ker}(\chi)$.


## Definition

A crossed module is a group homomorphism $\chi: E \rightarrow H$ with $H$ acts on $E$ (denoted $h \cdot e={ }^{h} e$ for $h \in H$ and $e \in E$ ) such that
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## Question: Can we generalize Turaev-Virelizier results from 1-type targets to 2-type targets?

## Main theorem

Question: Can we generalize Turaev-Virelizier results from 1-type targets to 2-type targets?
Answer: For the 3-dimensional state-sum HQFT, YES.

## Theorem (S.-Virelizier)

Let $\chi: E \rightarrow H$ be a crossed module. Then any spherical $\chi$-fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a 3 -dimensional HQFT $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}$ with target $\mathrm{B} \chi$.

## Main theorem

Question: Can we generalize Turaev-Virelizier results from 1-type targets to 2-type targets?

Answer: For the 3-dimensional state-sum HQFT, YES.

## Theorem (S.-Virelizier)

Let $\chi: E \rightarrow H$ be a crossed module. Then any spherical $\chi$-fusion
category $\mathcal{C}$ gives rise to a 3-dimensional HQFT $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}$ with target $\mathrm{B} \chi$.

This result generalizes the state-sum TQFT/HQFT results as follows:

- $\chi=\mathrm{id}_{H} \Longrightarrow \tau_{\chi}^{\Delta}$ is equivalent to 3d state-sum TQFT $\tau_{T V}$.
- $\chi: E \hookrightarrow H \Longrightarrow \tau_{\chi}^{\Delta}$ is equivalent to $\tau_{T V}^{\Delta}$ with $B \chi \simeq K(\operatorname{coker} \chi, 1)$.


## What type of invariant such an HQFT yield?

Given a spherical $\chi$-fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Then for any pair $(M, g)$ where $M$ is a closed oriented 3 -manifold and $g \in[M, B \chi]$ is a homotopy class, the $B \chi$-HQFT $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}$ yields a numerical invariant $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}(M, g) \in \mathbb{C}$ which is multiplicative with respect to disjoint union operation.


Our main goal is to explain how this number is derived.

## Spherical Fusion Categories

## Graphical calculus for monoidal categories

Graphical calculus is a very useful tool that allows to represent morphisms in a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ by planar diagrams.
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Graphical calculus is a very useful tool that allows to represent morphisms in a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ by planar diagrams.
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i d_{X}=\left.\right|_{X} \quad(f: X \rightarrow Y)=\underbrace{f_{X}^{Y}}_{X}
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## Graphical calculus for monoidal categories

Graphical calculus is a very useful tool that allows to represent morphisms in a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ by planar diagrams.


A monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear if for any two objects $X, Y$ of $\mathcal{C}$,

- $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space,
- o and $\otimes$ are $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear.


## Rigid and pivotal categories

A rigid category is a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ which admits both a left duality $\left\{\left({ }^{\vee} X, \mathrm{ev}_{X}:{ }^{\vee} X \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}\right)\right\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ and a right duality $\left\{\left(X^{\vee}, \widetilde{e v}_{X}: X \otimes X^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}\right)\right\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$.
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$i d_{x^{*}}=\left\{\begin{array}{|}\left.\right|_{X^{*}} \\ \left.\right|_{X}\end{array}\right.$
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## Fusion categories

An object $i$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is called simple if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i, i) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

## Definition

A fusion $\mathbb{C}$-category is a monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear category $\mathcal{C}$ such that there exists a finite set I of simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the conditions

- $\mathbb{1} \in I$,
- $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i, j)=0$ for any distinct $i, j \in I$,
- every object of $\mathcal{C}$ is a direct sum of finitely many elements of $\boldsymbol{I}$.
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An object $i$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is called simple if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i, i) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

## Definition

A fusion $\mathbb{C}$-category is a monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear category $\mathcal{C}$ such that there exists a finite set I of simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the conditions

- $\mathbb{1} \in I$,
- $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(i, j)=0$ for any distinct $i, j \in I$,
- every object of $\mathcal{C}$ is a direct sum of finitely many elements of $I$.

Example Representations of a finite group.
Example Representations of quantum groups.
Example Given a finite group $G$, we have a category $\mathcal{G}$; $\operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{G})=G$ and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(g, h)=\delta_{g, h} \mathbb{C}$ for all $g, h \in G$ where $g \otimes h=g h$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $k \otimes l=k l$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{C}$.

## Spherical categories and graphical calculus on a sphere

A spherical category is a pivotal category whose left and right traces coincide. That is, for any endomorphism $f$, we have $\operatorname{tr}_{l}(f)=\operatorname{tr}_{r}(f)$.
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The graphical calculus extends from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to $S^{2}$ when the monoidal category is spherical. In other words, the representation of a morphism by a graph $P$ is invariant under the isotopies of $P$ in $S^{2}$.

## Spherical categories and graphical calculus on a sphere

A spherical category is a pivotal category whose left and right traces coincide. That is, for any endomorphism $f$, we have $\operatorname{tr}_{l}(f)=\operatorname{tr}_{r}(f)$.


The graphical calculus extends from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to $S^{2}$ when the monoidal category is spherical. In other words, the representation of a morphism by a graph $P$ is invariant under the isotopies of $P$ in $S^{2}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a pivotal fusion cat. and I be a repres. of simple objects;

- Left dimension of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}: \operatorname{dim}_{l}(X)=\operatorname{tr}_{l}\left(\mathrm{id}_{X}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$,
- right dimension of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ : $\operatorname{dim}_{r}(X)=\operatorname{tr}_{r}\left(\mathrm{id}_{X}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$,
- dimension of $\mathcal{C}: \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{C})=\sum_{i \in I} \operatorname{dim}_{l}(i) \operatorname{dim}_{r}(i)$.


## Crossed module graded monoidal categories

Let $\chi: E \rightarrow H$ be a crossed module. A $\chi$-graded category is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ which is

- $E$-Hom graded, i.e. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)=\oplus_{e \in E} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{e}(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.
- endowed with a subclass $\mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$ and a degree map $|\cdot|: \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }} \rightarrow H$ such that
- $X=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ where $X_{i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$,
- For $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{e}(X, Y)=0$ if $|Y| \neq \chi(e)|X|$,
- For $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$, we have $X \otimes Y=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}$ with $\left|Z_{i}\right|=|X||Y|$,
- $|\mathbb{1}|=1 \in H$,
- For any homogeneous morphisms $\alpha, \beta$ with $s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$, we have $|\alpha \otimes \beta|=|\alpha|(|s(\alpha)||\beta|) \in E$,
- $\left|a_{X, Y, Z}\right|=\left|l_{X}\right|=\left|r_{X}\right|=1 \in E$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$.
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Let $\chi: E \rightarrow H$ be a crossed module. A $\chi$-graded category is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ which is

- $E$-Hom graded, i.e. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)=\oplus_{e \in E} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{e}(X, Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.
- endowed with a subclass $\mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$ and a degree map $|\cdot|: \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }} \rightarrow H$ such that
- $X=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ where $X_{i} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$,
- For $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{e}(X, Y)=0$ if $|Y| \neq \chi(e)|X|$,
- For $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$, we have $X \otimes Y=\oplus_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}$ with $\left|Z_{i}\right|=|X||Y|$,
- $|\mathbb{1}|=1 \in H$,
- For any homogeneous morphisms $\alpha, \beta$ with $s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text {hom }}$, we have $|\alpha \otimes \beta|=|\alpha|(|s(\alpha)||\beta|) \in E$,
- $\left|a_{X, Y, Z}\right|=\left|l_{X}\right|=\left|r_{X}\right|=1 \in E$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$.

A pivotal structure on a $\chi$-graded monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is a pivotal duality where all evaluation morphisms $\mathrm{ev}_{x}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{ev}}_{x}$ are homogeneous of degree $1 \in E$.
A pivotal $\chi$-graded monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$ is spherical if for any degree 1 endomorphism $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{1}(X, X)$, left and right traces coincide.

## Spherical $\chi$-fusion categories

## Definition (S.-Virelizier)

A spherical $\chi$-fusion category (over $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a spherical $\chi$-graded category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ such that

- $\mathcal{C}$ is $E$-semisimple, i.e. for any $e \in E$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $X=\oplus_{i \in J}^{e} X_{i}$ where each $X_{i}$ is simple (i.e. $E n d^{1}\left(X_{i}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}$ ),
- $\mathbb{1}$ is simple,
- For any $h \in H$, the set $I_{h}$ of 1 -isomorphism classes of degree $h$ homogeneous simple objects is finite and nonempty.


## Spherical $\chi$-fusion categories

## Definition (S.-Virelizier)

A spherical $\chi$-fusion category (over $\mathbb{C}$ ) is a spherical $\chi$-graded category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes)$ such that

- $\mathcal{C}$ is $E$-semisimple, i.e. for any $e \in E$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $X=\oplus_{i \in\}}^{e} X_{i}$ where each $X_{i}$ is simple (i.e. $E n d^{1}\left(X_{i}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}$ ),
- $\mathbb{1}$ is simple,
- For any $h \in H$, the set of 1 -isomorphism classes of degree $h$ homogeneous simple objects is finite and nonempty.

Example: Consider the category $\mathbb{C G}_{\chi}$ whose

- $\operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathbb{C G}_{\chi}\right)=H$
- $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_{x}}(x, y)=\{e \in E \mid y=\chi(e) x\} \mathbb{C}$ for $x, y \in H$.
- monoidal product of objects $x \otimes y=x y$
- monoidal product of morphisms $(x \xrightarrow{e} y) \otimes(z \xrightarrow{f} t)=x y \xrightarrow{e^{x f}} z t$.


## Hopf $\chi$-coalgebras and their representations

A Hopf $\chi$-coalgebra is a family $\left\{A_{x}\right\}_{x \in H}$ of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras endowed with

- coassociative algebra homoms. $\left\{\Delta_{x, y}: A_{x y} \rightarrow A_{x} \otimes A_{y}\right\}_{x, y \in H}$
- counitary algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon: A_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- bijective $\mathbb{C}$-linear homoms. $S=\left\{S_{x}: A_{x-1} \rightarrow A_{x}\right\}_{x \in H}$ [antipode].
- algebra isomorphisms $\left\{\phi_{x, e}: A_{x} \rightarrow A_{\chi(e)_{x}}\right\}_{x \in H, e \in E}$
satisfying certain conditions.


## Hopf $\chi$-coalgebras and their representations

A Hopf $\chi$-coalgebra is a family $\left\{A_{x}\right\}_{x \in H}$ of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras endowed with

- coassociative algebra homoms. $\left\{\Delta_{x, y}: A_{x y} \rightarrow A_{x} \otimes A_{y}\right\}_{x, y \in H}$
- counitary algebra homomorphism $\varepsilon: A_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- bijective $\mathbb{C}$-linear homoms. $S=\left\{S_{x}: A_{x^{-1}} \rightarrow A_{x}\right\}_{x \in H}$ [antipode].
- algebra isomorphisms $\left\{\phi_{x, e}: A_{x} \rightarrow A_{\chi(e)_{x}}\right\}_{x \in H, e \in E}$
satisfying certain conditions.


## Theorem (S.-Virelizier)

The category $\bmod (A)$ of representations of a Hopf $\chi$-coalgebra $A=\left\{A_{x}\right\}_{x \in H}$ is $\chi$-fusion if $A_{1}$ is semisimple and each $A_{x}$ is nonzero and finite dimensional.

Hopf $\chi$-coalgebras: Graphical definition





## State-sum Homotopy Invariants of Maps

## $\chi$-labeling of a triangulation

Let $M$ be a closed oriented 3-manifold and $g \in[M, B \chi]$ be a homotopy class of a map.

- Given a triangulation $\Delta$ of $M$ with oriented 2-faces $\Delta^{(2)} \subset \Delta$.
- Encode the data of $g$ by specifying a $\chi$-labeling $(\alpha, \beta)$ where

$$
\left(\alpha: \Delta^{(2)} \rightarrow H, \beta: \Delta^{(1)} \rightarrow E\right)
$$

Question: How do we specify a $\chi$-labeling?
Step 1: Choose a representative $\bar{g}$ of $g$ mapping centers of 3 -simplices to the basepoint $x \in B \chi$.
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- Given a triangulation $\Delta$ of $M$ with oriented 2-faces $\Delta^{(2)} \subset \Delta$.
- Encode the data of $g$ by specifying a $\chi$-labeling $(\alpha, \beta)$ where
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$$

Question: How do we specify a $\chi$-labeling?
Step 1: Choose a representative $\bar{g}$ of $g$ mapping centers of 3 -simplices to the basepoint $x \in B \chi$.


## $\chi$-labeling of a triangulation

Step 2: Choose arcs connecting central points and orient each arc using the orientation of the corresponding 2-face and the orientation of $M$.
Step 3: Label an arc $\gamma$ by an element of $H$ which corresponds to the homotopy class of a loop $\bar{g}(\gamma) \subset(B \chi)^{1} \subset B \chi$.
Step 4: $\alpha: \Delta^{(2)} \rightarrow H$ maps a 2 -face to the $H$-label of the corresponding arc.


## $\chi$-labeling of a triangulation

Step 5: Around an oriented edge $k$ of $\Delta$ form a disk $\delta_{k}$ whose boundary is the concatenation of the arcs obtained above.
Step 6: For a central point a adjacent to $k$, label the pair ( $k, a$ ) by an element of $E$ which corresponds to the relative homotopy class of $\left.\bar{g}\right|_{\delta_{k}} \subset B \chi$ in $\pi_{2}\left(B \chi,(B \chi)^{1}, x\right)=E$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi(e) & =h_{1} h_{2} h_{3} h_{4}^{-1} \\
\chi\left(e^{\prime}\right) & =h_{2} h_{3} h_{4}^{-1} h_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Lemma: $\left\{\chi\right.$-labelings of $\left.\Delta^{(2)}\right\} /$ Gauge group $\cong[M, B \chi]$.


## The state-sum invariant

Given a spherical $\chi$-fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ and a set $I=\sqcup_{h \in H} I_{h}$ of representatives of simple objects.
A coloring is a map $c: \Delta^{(2)} \rightarrow I$ such that $c(r) \in I_{\alpha(r)}$ for all $r \in \Delta^{(2)}$.

## Assigning scalar $|c|$ to a coloring $c$

Given a spherical $\chi$-fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ and a set $I=\sqcup_{h \in H} I_{h}$ of representatives of simple objects.
A coloring is a map $c: \Delta^{(2)} \rightarrow I$ such that $c(r) \in I_{\alpha(r)}$ for all $r \in \Delta^{(2)}$.
Given a coloring $c: \Delta^{(2)} \rightarrow I$, we obtain a scalar $|c| \in \mathbb{C}$ as follows.

- To each pair $(k, a)$ of an oriented edge $k$ and a central point $a$ adjacent to $k$, we assign a vector space

$$
H_{c}(k, a)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\beta(k, a)}\left(\mathbb{1}, c\left(r_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon_{1}} \otimes c\left(r_{2}\right)^{\varepsilon_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes c\left(r_{n}\right)^{\varepsilon_{n}}\right)
$$



$$
\stackrel{x_{1}}{x_{3}} x_{2}
$$


$\longmapsto H_{c}(k, a)$

## Assigning scalar $|c|$ to a coloring $c$

- Doing this assignment for all oriented edges, we obtain a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space

$$
H_{c}=\otimes_{\substack{\text { oriented } \\ \text { edges } k}} H_{c}\left(k, a_{k}\right) .
$$

- Lemma: $H_{c}\left(k, a_{k}\right)$ and $H_{c}\left(-k, a_{k}\right)$ are dual to each other. This yields a vector $*_{k} \in H_{c}\left(k, a_{k}\right) \otimes H_{c}\left(-k, a_{k}\right)$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
f \in H_{c}(k, a) & =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\beta(k, a)}\left(\mathbb{1}, c\left(r_{1}\right) \otimes c\left(r_{2}\right)^{*} \otimes c\left(r_{3}\right)^{*} \otimes c\left(r_{4}\right)\right) \\
g \in H_{c}(-k, a) & =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^{-\beta(k, a)}\left(\mathbb{1}, c\left(r_{4}\right)^{*} \otimes c\left(r_{3}\right) \otimes c\left(r_{2}\right) \otimes c\left(r_{1}\right)^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Assigning scalar $|c|$ to a coloring $c$

- Doing this assignment for all oriented edges, we obtain a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space

$$
H_{c}=\underset{\substack{\text { oriented } \\ \text { edges } k}}{ } H_{c}\left(k, a_{k}\right)
$$

- Lemma: $H_{c}\left(k, a_{k}\right)$ and $H_{c}\left(-k, a_{k}\right)$ are dual to each other. This yields a vector $*_{k} \in H_{c}\left(k, a_{k}\right) \otimes H_{c}\left(-k, a_{k}\right)$.

- Each coloring c produces a vector $*_{c}=\otimes_{\text {unoriented }} *_{\tilde{k}} \in H_{C}$. edges $\tilde{R}$


## Assigning scalar $|c|$ to a coloring $c$

The next step involves vertices:

- For any vertex $v$ of $\Delta$, choose a 3-ball neighborhood $B_{v}^{3}$ of $v$.
- The intersection $\partial B_{v}^{3} \cap \Delta^{(2)}$ yields a graph $\Gamma_{v}$ on $\partial B_{v}^{2}$.

- A coloring $c$ assigns to each vertex of $\Gamma_{v}$ a $H o m$-vector space in $\mathcal{C}$.
- The assigned vector space is precisely $H_{c}\left(k_{1}, a\right)$ where $k_{1}$ is the corresponding edge and oriented away from $v$.


## Assigning scalar $|c|$ to a coloring $c$

- Then each vertex $v$ of $\Delta$ and a coloring $c$ yields a dual vector

$$
\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\Gamma_{v}^{c}\right): \underbrace{H_{c}\left(k_{1}, a\right) \otimes H_{c}\left(k_{2}, a\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes H_{c}\left(k_{n}, a\right)}_{H_{c}\left(\Gamma_{v}^{c}\right)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

where $k_{i}$ 's are the edges incident to $v$ and oriented away from $v$.


- Repeating this process for all vertices, we obtain

$$
\otimes_{v \in \Delta} H\left(\Gamma_{v}^{c}\right)^{*} \cong \otimes_{v} \otimes_{k_{v}} H_{c}\left(k_{v}, a_{v}\right)^{*} \cong \underset{\substack{\text { oriented } \\ \text { edges } k}}{ } H_{c}\left(k, a_{k}\right)^{*}=H_{c}^{*}
$$

- Denote the image of $\otimes_{v \in \Delta} \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(\Gamma_{v}^{c}\right) \in \otimes_{v \in \Delta} H\left(\Gamma_{v}^{c}\right)^{*}$ under these isomorphisms by $V_{c} \in H_{c}^{*}$.
- Lastly, the scalar $|c|$ is obtained by the evaluation $V_{c}\left(*_{c}\right) \in \mathbb{C}$.


## The state-sum invariant

The state-sum invariant of a pair $(M, g)$ is defined as

$$
\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}(M, g)=\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}_{1}^{1}\right)^{-(\# 3-\text { simplices of } \Delta)} \sum_{\substack{\text { colorings } \\ \text { c: } \Delta^{(2)} \rightarrow 1}}\left(\prod_{r \in \Delta^{(2)}} \operatorname{dim}(c(r))\right)|c| \in \mathbb{C} \text {. }
$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{1}^{1}$ is the fusion subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of degree 1 objects and degree 1 morphisms.

Recall that the inputs for $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}(M, g)$ are

- triangulation $\Delta$ of $M$,
- $\chi$-labeling $(\alpha, \beta)$ of $\Delta$ associated to $g$.
- spherical $\chi$-fusion category $\mathcal{C}$,
- representative set I of simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$,

Theorem (S.-Virelizier)
$\tau_{\mathcal{C}}^{\Delta}(M, g)$ is independent of the choices of $\Delta,(\alpha, \beta)$, and $I$.

Thanks for your attention!

